

Introduction

During the field phase of Convective and Orographicallyinduced Precipitation Study (COPS) the Supersite "S" was equipped with several precipitation measuring devices (Fig. 1). In this study we investigate precipitation measurements for selected IOPs during the COPS field phase. Precipitation data of collocated vertically pointing micro rain radar (MRR), optical disdrometer, and a weighing precipitation gauge are compared with scanning C-band weather radar covering the location of the supersite.





Fig. 1. Location within the COPS domain and aerial view of the supersite S. Some of the instruments used in this study are marked yellow. Weighing gauge was located some meters away from disdrometer.

Data

Selected IOPs with precipitation are stratified into convective and non-convective events. Since the sampling characteristics (sample volume, sampling time) varies notably between the instruments used for comparison, appropriate matching of the temporal and spatial scale of the different observations was done with a particular attention given to the differences in the height of the measurements.

	IOP	Datum	Instrument	Provider	
convective	5b	20070702	scanning radar	KIT Karlsruhe	
	6	20070704			
	7a	20070708			
	9a	20070718	micro rain radar MRR disdrometer	Uni Wien Uni	
	15a	20070812			
	17a	20070821			
form	IOP	Datum	PARSIVEL	Wien	
	-	20070721			
trati	14b	20070808	rain gauge PLUVIO OTT	Uni Frankfurt	
ن	14c	20070809			

Acknowledge Jan Handwerker (KIT Karlsruhe), Martin Hagen (DLR Oberpfaffenhofen) and Bodo Ahrens (Goethe University, Frankfurt am Main) for providing data.

Comprehensive comparison of precipitation measurement systems for convective and non-convective events

Niko Filipović, Lukas Tüchler, Reinhold Steinacker, Manfred Dorninger Department of Meteorology and Geophysics - IMGW, University of Vienna

Contact: <u>niko.filipovic@univie.ac.at</u>

Scanning radar vs. Micro Rain Radar (MRR) 9190 0,9230 0,9275 0,9280 0,92 18.07.2007 9803 0,9882 0,9915 0,9892 0,988 9305 0,9237 0,9190 0,9284 0,9200 782 0,9890 0,9908 0,9907 0,9 0,9338 0,9292 0,9348 0,9271 0,9292 9793 0,9863 0,9890 0,9875 0,985 9444 0,9373 0,9463 0,9480 0,952 9673 0,9849 0,9889 0,9889 0,98 9446 0,9504 0,9617 0,9531 0,9616

Correlation coefficient calculated between pixels of the scanning radar surrounding the location of MRR (central pixel) and MRR shows the influence of wind drift for convective case between the mean of the corresponding MRR-gates (400m – 1400m) and the height of radar scanning volume (ca. 1000m above MRR position).



The lowest usable MRR gate (the second gate at 200m) and the lowest range gate that is observable by scanning radar (400m) show reasonable agreement with disdrometer, particularly for the reflectivity range between 20-40 dBZ. The range gate in the overlapping volume with scanning radar shows larger bias for high reflectivity occurrences.

iming of precipitation seems to be in good between the gauge and greement lisdrometer. During strong precipitation the spikes in disdrometer vents. neasurements are larger than those by the auge, apparently because of the measuring nertia of the weighing gauge.



Rain gauge vs. disdrometer



Reflectivity time series of two selected precipitation events (stratiform and convective) of the scanning and vertically pointing micro rain radar show similar time evolution.

MRR vs. disdrometer

Reflectivity- and height-dependent difference between MRR and disdrometer is detected for both, convective and stratiform events. In stratiform cases this bias towards higher disdrometer reflectivity measurements is even more pronounced.

between disdrometer and and gauge, disdrometer and MRR legitimate its use to adjust or calibrate the Z-R relationship of the MRR as vertical extension towards routinely available radar precipitation estimation.



For the three months of observation (June – August) it comes out that disdrometer detected ~15% more precipitation than the rain gauge. Thorough data quality check should be done because of the sensitivity of disdrometer to report precipitation during clear air situations.









For daily precipitation sums including all events, mean bias B of ~30% towards radar precipitation is calculated.



Temporal autocorrelation for selected IOPs (9a and 14b) shows expected behaviour: stratiform precipitation being more persistent in time than convective precipitation.

Both MRR and disdrometer are well correlated for sampling intervals up to 5 minutes.

Conclusions

From the elevation of scanning radar over the vertical profiling of a micro rain radar down to the disdrometer and rain gauges on the ground, a whole chain of different precipitation measuring techniques is involved. Analyses of temporal and spatial correlation of precipitation data regarding comparison with scanning radar data show that the time averaging is less a problem than the spatial averaging. Errors due to the wind drift are a limiting factor, particularly for high resolution data.

Between the MRR and disdrometer, there is a reflectivityand height- dependent bias towards higher disdrometer reflectivity values, increasing with higher range gates of MRR. This bias arises due to the variation of drop size distribution with the height. Therefore, for calibration purposes with MRR only lower range gates should be used and preferably not at very large reflectivity occurrences. Disdrometer seem to be more sensitive for precipitation occurrence but also are more error-prone (precipitation signal in clear air).