Role of COPS for improving model deficiencies from the view of the DWD

Gerhard Adrian Michael Baldauf Ulrich Damrath Stefan Klink

Local Model LM

nonhydrostatic (elastic) model objective: direct simulation of deep convection





www.cosmo-model.org

UGM, ARPA DWD, GeoInfoDBW







HNMS Meteo-Schweiz







Global model GME grid size: 40 km layers: 40 forecast time: 174 h from 00 and 12 UTC 48 h from 18 UTC 48 h from 18 UTC 1 grid element: 1384 km² data assimilation: OI with 1d-VAR for radiances 2006: 3d-Var



Local model LM grid size: 7 km layers: 35 forecast time: 48 h from 00, 12 and 18 UTC 1grid element: 49 km² September 2005: LME data assimilation: nudging, later 3d-Var

local model very short range "Kürzestfrist" (LMK)

grid size 2,8 km forecast time 18h from 00, 03, 06,... 21 UTC 1 grid element: 8 km² mid of 2006

LME 7km/L40 (exp.: 4624) initial: 02 JUN 2005 12 UTC valid: 04 JUN 2005 00 UTC

CURRENT TOTAL PRECIPITATION RATE [mm/h]



(T) Mean: 0	.0805386 Min:	0 Max:	68.9392 Vo	ır: 0.74678
(R) Mean: 0	.0802441 Min:	0 Max:	68.9392 Vo	ır: 0.746795
(S) Mean: 0	.000294539 Min:	0 Max:	1.35087 Vo	ır: 3.49812e–05
(S) Mean: U	.000294559 Min:	U Max:	1.55067 VG	ir: 3,49612e-0;

5

10

15

20

30

40

2

Model domain LME

GB FE

0

0.2

0.5

1

DWD Jul-05

LMK 2.8 km (exp.: 701 - DA + GD-SC - TVD-RK-3rd/ initial: 23 NOV 2004 00 UTC valid: 23 NOV 2004 07 UTC (1) iwv (blue) (2) iwater-iwv (red)



Model domain LMK



LM 7 km (routine) initial: 23 NOV 2004 00 UTC valid: 23 NOV 2004 07 UTC











ENUIS





GB FE

Example: 18.07.2004, 00 UTC + 10 h

LM

LM 7 km (routine) initial: 18 JUL 2004 00 UTC valid: 18 JUL 2004 10 UTC

(1) 1h PRECIPITATION (>0.1mm) (2) PMSL





RADAR COMPOSITE valid: 18 JUL 2004 09 - 10 UTC (1) 1h PRECIPITATION (>0.1mm)



0.1 0.2 0.5

7.5 30 2 5 10 15 20 40

LMK

LMK 2.8 km (exp.: 696 - BAL. PP + COS LBC + GD-SC initial: 18 JUL 2004 00 UTC valid: 18 JUL 2004 10 UTC (1) 1h PRECIPITATION (>0.1mm) (2) PMSL



1

Example: 18.07.2004, 00 UTC + 12 h

LM



Radar

RADAR COMPOSITE

valid: 18 JUL 2004 11 - 12 UTC (1) 1h PRECIPITATION (>0.1mm)



(1) Wean: 0.0912411 Win: 0 Max: 24.1919 Var: 0.377173

LMK

LMK 2.8 km (exp.: 696 - BAL, PP + COS LBC + GD-SC initial: 18 JUL 2004 00 UTC valid: 18 JUL 2004 12 UTC

(1) 1h PRECIPITATION (>0.1mm) (2) PMSL



0.2 0.5 1 2 5 7.5 10 15

20 30 40

Radar

Example: 18.07.2004, 00 UTC + 18 h

LM





LMK 2.8 km (exp.: 696 - BAL. PP + COS LBC + GD-SC initial: 18 JUL 2004 00 UTC valid: 18 JUL 2004 18 UTC (1) 1h PRECIPITATION (>0.1mm) (2) PMSL



2 5 7.5 10 15 20 30 40

Summary

- Daily cycle of precipitation is simulated poorly
 - causing often large forecast errors of the distribution of precipitation in space and time
- Increasing resolution (direct simulation of deep convection) may help,
 - which has been proven but has not been understood
 - which does not solve the problem in global circulation models (e.g. for medium range forecasts)
- WGNE (CAS, CCL) recommends to spend more research efforts to the problem of convection in weather forecast models and climate models



Questions to COPS

- Which processes are responsible for the daily cycle for precipitation and deep convection?
- Which processes determine the time scales of deep convection and precipitation, the phase shift relative to the forcing?
- How can the effect of the relevant processes be quantified by observations?
- We need a experimental design to improve the understanding of the relevant processes from observations.